1 2	RANDALL H. SCARLETT (SBN 13555- ROBERT E. ORMISTON (SBN 141857) SCARLETT LAW GROUP	•
3	536 Pacific Avenue, 1st Floor	*E-FILED 8/8/07*
4	San Francisco, CA 94133 Telephone: (415) 352-6264	
5	Facsimile: (415) 352-6265	
6	rscarlett@scarlettlawgroup.com	
7	CAL J. POTTER III (Nevada Bar No. 00 Potter Law Offices	01988)
8	1125 Shadow Lane	
9	Las Vegas, NV 89102 Telephone: (702) 385-1954	
10	Facsimile: (702) 385-9081 lpotter@potterlawoffices.com	
11		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Estate Of Ziam Bojcic and	
13	Ajnija Bojcic	
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN JC	OSE DIVISION
17	ESTATE OF ZIAM BOJCIC and	Case No.: C 05 03877 RS
18	AJNIJA BOJCIC,	STIPULATION AND ORDER
19	Plaintiffs,	ENLARGING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
20	VS.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
21	CITY OF SAN JOSE, SAN JOSE	Date: August 29, 2007
22 23	POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER DONALD GUESS, Individually and in	Time: 9:00 AM Ctrm.: 4 – 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor
24	his official capacity, et al.,	
25	Defendants.	Action Filed: September 26, 2005 Trial Date: November 5, 2007
26		
27		
28		

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES that plaintiffs	
2	shall have to and including August 13, 2007, within which to file and serve their	
3	Opposition and supporting documents to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment	
4	and, defendants shall have to and including August 20, 2007, within which to file and	
5	serve their Reply Brief.	
б	DATED. Assessed 2007	
7	DATED: August 8, 2007 POTTLER LAW OFFICES	
8		
9	CAL J. POTTER III, ESQ.	
10	JOHN FUNK, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11		
12	DATED: August 8, 2007 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY	
13		
14	ByCLIFFORD GREENBERG, ESO.	
15	STEVEN B. DIPPELL, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendants	
16	**************************************	
17	ORDER	
18	Pursuant to Stipulation of the parties,	
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs may have to and including August 13	
20	2007, within which to file and serve their Opposition and supporting documents to	
21		
22	defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and defendants may have to and including	
23 24	August 20, 2007, within which to file and serve their Reply Brief.	
25	DATED:	
l l		
26	RICHARD SEEBORG	
27 28	United States District Court Judge Northern District of California	
۷٥	STIPULATION & ORDER RE: ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS.	
	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (No. C 05 0 3877 RS) 2	

	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES that plaintiffs	
2	shall have to and including August 13, 2007, within which to file and serve their	
3	Opposition and supporting documents to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment	
4	and, defendants shall have to and including August 20, 2007, within which to file and	
5		
6	1 Th 1 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	
7	DATED: August 8, 2007 POTTLER LAW OFFICES	
8		
9	ByCAL J. POTTER III, ESQ.	
10	JOHN FUNK, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11		
12	DATED: August 8, 2007 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY	
13	0510	
1.4	By Treat	
15	STEVEN B. DIPPERI, ESO.	
16	( Attorneys for Defendances	
17	ORDER	
18	Pursuant to Stipulation of the parties,	
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs may have to and including August 13,	
20		
21	2007, within which to file and serve their Opposition and supporting documents to	
22	defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and defendants may have to and including	
23	August 20, 2007, within which to file and serve their Reply Brief.	
24	DATED: August 8, 2007	
25		
26	RICHARD SEEBORG	
27 28	United States District Court Judge Northern District of California	
40	STIPULATION & ORDER RESENT ARGEMENT OF THAT FOR AN	
	OFFOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (No. C 05 03877 RS)  2	